NANO COLLOQUIA 2022

Federico Belliardo

NEST, Scuola Normale Superiore

17 February 2022



Non-asymptotic Heisenberg scaling: experimental metrology for a wide resources range

V Cimini, E Polino, F Belliardo, F Hoch, B Piccirillo, N Spagnolo, V Giovannetti, and F Sciarrino, arXiv:2110.02908 (2021).

The parameter to be estimated will be a phase (rotation angle).

Mach-Zehnder Interferometer: used to measure changes in the optical lengths of the arms.



What if we use truly quantum states of light? E.g. number states.



Abstractly $\frac{|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ with $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ being two orthogonal quantum states.

Phase estimation is the prototypical quantum technological task!

- Has its origin in the coherent nature of quantum mechanics, which allows for superposition with complex amplitudes.
- It's prototypical for the nature of quantum information:
 - $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ contains ∞ bits of information, but a measurement can extract at most 1 bit. We have however many ways to extract such bit, corresponding to many measurements.

4

Different points of view

Roles of the Quantum Phase Estimation in...

Quantum Algorithms:

- Quantum Eigensolver
- HHL algorithm for linear systems (Harrow, Hassidim, and Lloyd, (2008)
- Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (15): 150502)
- Shor factorization algorithm (Shor (1997), SIAM J. Comput., **26** (5): 1484–1509)
- ...

Roles of the Quantum Phase Estimation in...

Quantum Algorithms:

- Quantum Eigensolver
- HHL algorithm for linear systems (Harrow, Hassidim, and Lloyd, (2008)
- Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (15): 150502)
- Shor factorization algorithm (Shor (1997), SIAM J. Comput., **26** (5): 1484–1509)
- ...





Quantum Metrology:



- Interferometry (gravitational waves) (Schnabel *et al. Nat Commun* **1**, 121 (2010))
- Concentration measurements in a solution (Taylor and Bowen, *Phys. Rep.* **615**, 1 (2016))
- Magnetometry (Budker and Romalis, Nature Physics 3, 227–234 (2007))
- Characterization of quantum gates
- ...



$$U_{\theta} := e^{i\sigma_{z}\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \longrightarrow U_{\theta} \longrightarrow |\psi_{\theta}\rangle := U_{\theta}|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$U_{\theta} := e^{i\sigma_z\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \longrightarrow U_{\theta} \rightarrow |\psi_{\theta}\rangle := U_{\theta}|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

We can define an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ from the results of multiple measurements, and define a **Quantum Cramér-Rao bound**.

1) Non-entangled probes:



Giovannetti, Lloyd, and Maccone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 010401 (2006).

$$U_{\theta} := e^{i\sigma_z\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \longrightarrow U_{\theta} \longrightarrow |\psi_{\theta}\rangle := U_{\theta}|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

We can define an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ from the results of multiple measurements, and define a **Quantum Cramér-Rao bound**.

1) Non entangled probes:

2) Sequential encoding:



Giovannetti, Lloyd, and Maccone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 010401 (2006).

$$U_{\theta} := e^{i\sigma_{z}\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \longrightarrow U_{\theta} \longrightarrow |\psi_{\theta}\rangle := U_{\theta}|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

We can define an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ from the results of multiple measurements, and define a **Quantum Cramér-Rao bound**.

1) Non entangled probes:



2) Sequential encoding:



3) Entangled probes:

Heisenberg scaling: $\Delta^2 \hat{\theta} >$

Maximally entangled states for Quantum Metrology: $|\text{GHZ}_{\theta}^{(N)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + e^{iN\theta}|1\rangle^{\otimes N})$



Faster phase accumulation. State periodicity $T = \frac{2\pi}{N}$.



Maximally entangled states for Quantum Metrology: $|\text{GHZ}_{\theta}^{(N)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + e^{iN\theta}|1\rangle^{\otimes N})$ $T = 2\pi/N$ N = 1 $N \gg 1$ Space of states Faster phase accumulation. State periodicity $T = \frac{2\pi}{N}$. Loophole: prior knownledge on θ with precision $\sim \frac{1}{N}$ is required.

Is the Heisenberg scaling reachable? Probes of different sizes:



Kitaev Phase Estimation Algorithm





Bayesian estimation (Granade et al., New J. Phys. 14 103013 (2012))



The outliers make the estimator for the MSE unstable.

In both cases we cannot claim easily that the Heisenberg scaling can be reached.

Solution: analytical evaluation of the tail of the distribution!

Analytical control of the tails of $\hat{\theta}$ + Exponentially growing size for the states 2^k

The HS can be reached!

$$\Delta^2 \hat{\theta} \simeq \frac{C}{N^2}$$

Higgins, Berry, Bartlett, Mitchell, Wiseman, and Pryde, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 073023 (2009). Kimmel, Low, and Yoder, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 062315 (2015).

Our contributions:

- Optimization of the number of probes for each size and the size of the probes.
- Resource distribution in presence of noise (photon loss, non-unitary visibilities).
- Resource distribution for a small quantum enhancement.
- Exponentially growing sizes are not necessary.

F. Belliardo and V. Giovannetti, Phys. Rev. A 102, 042613 (2020)

Experiment done in collaboration with the group of prof. Fabio Sciarrino at La Sapienza in Rome, with an optical setup.



- No large size photonic entangled states (NOON states) are available.
- Multipass setups are not stable enough to simulate a high entangled state.



- No large size photonic entangled states (NOON states) are available.
- Multipass setups are not stable enough to simulate a high entangled state.

Phase encoded in high total angular momentum states of light!

Q-plates: electrically activated liquid crystal (LC) half-wave plate with a topologically non trivial vortex configuration of the LC molecules.





Rubano, Cardano, Piccirillo, and Marucci, Journal of the Optical Society of America B 36, Issue 5, pp. D70-D87 (2019).



A q-plate is locally an halfwave plate (HWP) but with axis different at each point.

 $\alpha(\phi) = q\phi + \alpha_0$ Q-plates are able to manipulate the OAM through polarization.



Rubano, Cardano, Piccirillo, and Marucci, Journal of the Optical Society of America B 36, Issue 5, pp. D70-D87 (2019).

A q-plate is locally an halfwave plate (HWP) but with axis different at each point.

 $\alpha(\phi) = q\phi + \alpha_0$ Q-plates are able to manipulate the OAM through polarization.



Rubano, Cardano, Piccirillo, and Marucci, Journal of the Optical Society of America B 36, Issue 5, pp. D70-D87 (2019).





Details of the encoding and decoding stages:





Details of the encoding and decoding stages:





Total resource number:

$$2q_i + 1 = m_i + 1 = 1, 2, 11, 51.$$
Number of photons
for each q-plate (optimized strategy).
Topological charge of the q-plate,
(quantum resource).
$$N = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4} n_i (m_i + 1).$$











Thank you for your attention!